

ON THE NUMBER OF RATIONAL POINTS OF A PLANE ALGEBRAIC CURVE

MASSIMO GIULIETTI

ABSTRACT. The number of $\mathbf{F}_q$ -rational points of a plane non-singular algebraic curve $\mathcal{X}$ defined over a finite field $\mathbf{F}_q$ is computed, provided that the generic point of $\mathcal{X}$ is not an inflexion and that $\mathcal{X}$ is Frobenius non-classical with respect to conics.

Keywords: Algebraic curves, Rational points, Frobenius non-classical curves.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a plane non-singular algebraic curve of degree d defined over a finite field $\mathbf{F}_q$ of order $q = p^r$ with p prime. Let N denote the number of points of $\mathcal{X}$ with coordinates in $\mathbf{F}_q$, also called $\mathbf{F}_q$ -rational points. The Hasse-Weil theorem states that

$$N = q + 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \alpha_i$$

where α_i are certain algebraic integers, and $g = \frac{1}{2}d(d-1)$ is the genus of $\mathcal{X}$. Nevertheless, formulas for N in terms of d and some other projective invariants of $\mathcal{X}$ are only known for few curves, see [5], [12]. For instance, $N = q + 1 + (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q}$ for the Fermat curve $X^d + Y^d + 1 = 0$ with $\sqrt{q} \equiv -1 \pmod{d}$, and q squared, but this formula does not hold true for $q = q_0^m$ with $m > 2$ and $q_0^{m-1} + \dots + q_0 + 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{d}$, see [11].

In [6] the authors pointed out that $N = d(q-d+2)$ when $\mathcal{X}$ is Frobenius non-classical, that is the image $\mathbf{Fr}(P)$ of a generic point P of $\mathcal{X}$ under the Frobenius map lies in the tangent line at P . Note that for $p > 2$ any Frobenius non-classical curve is non-classical in the sense that every point of the curve is an inflexion. An example of a Frobenius non-classical curve is the Fermat curve of degree $d = \sqrt{q} + 1$ with q squared, also called Hermitian curve.

In this paper we will be concerned with the case where

A) $\mathcal{X}$ is classical;

2000 Math. Subj. Class.: Primary 14G, Secondary 11G.

This research was performed within the activity of GNSAGA of the Italian CNR, with the financial support of the Italian Ministry MIUR, project “Strutture geometriche, combinatorica e loro applicazioni”, PRIN 2001-2002.

B) $\mathcal{X}$ is Frobenius non-classical with respect to conics, that is $\mathbf{Fr}(P)$ lies in the osculating conic $\mathcal{C}_P$ to $\mathcal{X}$ at a generic point P of $\mathcal{X}$.

For $p \geq 5$, such a curve $\mathcal{X}$ has the “non-classical” type property that the intersection multiplicity $I(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{C}_P; P)$ at a generic point P is a power p^ν of p . For $p^\nu = \sqrt{q}$, an example of such a curve is the Fermat curve of degree $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{q} + 1)$ with q squared. Our result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a plane non-singular algebraic curve of degree d defined over a finite field of order $q = p^r$ with $p \geq 5$ prime. Assume that $\mathcal{X}$ satisfies conditions A) and B). If $d < p^\nu - 1$, then*

$$(1.1) \quad N = \frac{1}{2}[d(q + 5 - 2d) - k]$$

where k denotes the number of non- $\mathbf{F}_q$ -rational inflexion points $P \in \mathcal{X}$.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

An essential tool in the study of the number of $\mathbf{F}_q$ -rational points of an algebraic curve defined over $\mathbf{F}_q$ is the Stöhr-Voloch method. Here, we only summarize the results from [13, Sections 1-2] which play a role in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a plane non-singular algebraic curve of degree d and genus g defined over a finite field $\mathbf{F}_q$ of order $q = p^r$, with p prime. Let $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q(\mathcal{X})$ be the function field of $\mathcal{X}$, and x_0, x_1, x_2 $\mathbf{F}_q$ -rational functions in $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q(\mathcal{X})$ such that $\mathcal{X}$ has homogeneous equation $F(x_0, x_1, x_2) = 0$. Also, assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is classical, that is the generic point of $\mathcal{X}$ is not an inflexion.

The ramification divisor R and the $\mathbf{F}_q$ -Frobenius divisor S of $\mathcal{X}$ are defined as follows

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} R &= \operatorname{div}\left(\det \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ D_t^1(x_0) & D_t^1(x_1) & D_t^1(x_2) \\ D_t^2(x_0) & D_t^2(x_1) & D_t^2(x_2) \end{pmatrix}\right) + 3\operatorname{div}(dt) + 3E, \\ S &= \operatorname{div}\left(\det \begin{pmatrix} x_0^q & x_1^q & x_2^q \\ x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ D_t^1(x_0) & D_t^1(x_1) & D_t^1(x_2) \end{pmatrix}\right) + \operatorname{div}(dt) + (q + 2)E, \end{aligned}$$

where $D_t^{(k)}$ is the k -th Hasse derivative with respect to a separating variable t , and $E = \sum v_P(E)P$ with $v_P(E) = -\min\{v_P(x_0), v_P(x_1), v_P(x_2)\}$. For $P \in \mathcal{X}$, let $j(P)$ denote the intersection multiplicity of $\mathcal{X}$ with its tangent line at P .

Proposition 2.1. (a) $\deg R = 3(2g - 2) + 3d$;
 (b) $\deg S = (2g - 2) + (q + 2)d$;
 (c) $v_P(R) \geq j(P) - 2$; equality holds if and only if p does not divide $j(P)(j(P) - 1)/2$;
 (d) $v_P(S) \geq j(P)$ for P $\mathbf{F}_q$ -rational point in $\mathcal{X}$; equality holds if and only if p does not divide $j(P) - 1$.

The order-sequence $(j_0(P), j_1(P), j_2(P), j_3(P), j_4(5), j_5(P))$ at $P \in \mathcal{X}$ is defined to be the set of intersection multiplicities of $\mathcal{X}$ at P with conics, arranged in increasing order. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is classical, two cases occur according as P is an inflexion point or not, namely either $(0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \epsilon(P))$ or $(0, 1, 2, j(P), j(P) + 1, 2j(P))$. Apart from a finite number of points of $\mathcal{X}$, we have the same order sequence $(0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \epsilon)$. If $p \geq 5$ and condition B) is satisfied, then $\epsilon = p^\nu$ for an integer $\nu \geq 1$, that is $I(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{C}_P; P) = \epsilon$ for the osculating conic $\mathcal{C}_P$ at P . To investigate the number of $\mathbf{F}_q$ -rational points on $\mathcal{X}$ the following result by Garcia and Voloch [3] is needed.

Proposition 2.2. *If $p \geq 5$ and $\mathcal{X}$ is Frobenius non-classical with respect to conics with order sequence $(0, 1, 2, 3, 4, p^\nu)$, then there exist $\mathbf{F}_q$ -rational functions $z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5 \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}_q(\mathcal{X})$ such that*

$$z_0^{p^\nu} x_0^2 + z_1^{p^\nu} x_0 x_1 + z_2^{p^\nu} x_0 x_2 + z_3^{p^\nu} x_1^2 + z_4^{p^\nu} x_1 x_2 + z_5^{p^\nu} x_2^2 = 0.$$

3. CURVES WHICH ARE FROBENIUS NON-CLASSICAL FOR CONICS

Throughout this section we assume that $p \geq 5$ and that $\mathcal{X}$ satisfies both conditions A) and B). Let $x := x_1/x_0$, $y := x_2/x_0$ and $f(x, y) = 0$ be a minimal equation of $\mathcal{X}$. By Proposition 2.2, there exist $\mathbf{F}_q$ -rational functions $z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5 \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}_q(\mathcal{X})$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad z_0^{p^\nu} + z_1^{p^\nu} x + z_2^{p^\nu} y + z_3^{p^\nu} x^2 + z_4^{p^\nu} xy + z_5^{p^\nu} y^2 = 0.$$

Let $P = (a, b)$ be an affine point of $\mathcal{X}$, and choose an index j with $0 \leq j \leq 5$ such that $v_P(z_j) \leq v_P(z_i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq 5$. Putting $m_i = z_i/z_j \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}_q(\mathcal{X})$ we have $v_P(m_i) \geq 0$, and therefore

$$m_0^{p^\nu} + m_1^{p^\nu} x + m_2^{p^\nu} y + m_3^{p^\nu} x^2 + m_4^{p^\nu} xy + m_5^{p^\nu} y^2 = 0,$$

with $m_j = 1$. Let $s_0 = m_0(a, b)^{p^\nu} + m_1(a, b)^{p^\nu} x + m_2(a, b)^{p^\nu} y + m_3(a, b)^{p^\nu} x^2 + m_4(a, b)^{p^\nu} xy + m_5(a, b)^{p^\nu} y^2 \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}_q(\mathcal{X})$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} s_0 &= s_0 - m_0^{p^\nu} - m_1^{p^\nu} x - m_2^{p^\nu} y - m_3^{p^\nu} x^2 - m_4^{p^\nu} xy - m_5^{p^\nu} y^2 \\ &= (m_0(a, b) - m_0)^{p^\nu} + (m_1(a, b) - m_1)^{p^\nu} x + (m_2(a, b) - m_2)^{p^\nu} y + (m_3(a, b) - m_3)^{p^\nu} x^2 \\ &\quad + (m_4(a, b) - m_4)^{p^\nu} xy + (m_5(a, b) - m_5)^{p^\nu} y^2 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $v_P(s_0) \geq \min_{0 \leq i \leq 5} v_P((m_i(a, b) - m_i)^{p^\nu}) \geq p^\nu$. Moreover, as $m_j(a, b) = 1$, the equation $m_0(a, b)^{p^\nu} + m_1(a, b)^{p^\nu} X + m_2(a, b)^{p^\nu} Y + m_3(a, b)^{p^\nu} X^2 + m_4(a, b)^{p^\nu} XY + m_5(a, b)^{p^\nu} Y^2 = 0$ defines a conic. Then the following result is obtained.

Lemma 3.1. *For an affine point $P = (a, b)$ of $\mathcal{X}$, let $\mathcal{D}_P$ the conic of equation*

$$m_0(a, b)^{p^\nu} + m_1(a, b)^{p^\nu} X + m_2(a, b)^{p^\nu} Y + m_3(a, b)^{p^\nu} X^2 + m_4(a, b)^{p^\nu} XY + m_5(a, b)^{p^\nu} Y^2 = 0.$$

Then the intersection multiplicity $I(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}_P; P)$ is at least p^ν .

Proposition 3.2. *Let $P = (a, b) \in \mathcal{X}$. If $d < p^\nu - 1$, then*

- i) $\mathcal{D}_P$ coincides with the osculating conic $\mathcal{C}_P$ of $\mathcal{X}$ at P ;

ii) $\mathbf{Fr}(P) \in \mathcal{C}_P$.

Proof. i) If P is not an inflexion point, then the osculating conic $\mathcal{C}_P$ is the only conic having intersection multiplicity with $\mathcal{X}$ at P more than 4, and hence i) holds. If P is an inflexion point, then $j(P) \leq d$. Thus $j_4(P) \leq d + 1$. Since $d < p^\nu - 1$, the osculating conic of $\mathcal{X}$ at P turns out to be the only conic having intersection multiplicity with $\mathcal{X}$ at P at least p^ν .

ii) First note that since P is an arbitrarily chosen point on $\mathcal{X}$, condition B) is not sufficient to prove the assertion. From [13, Corollary 1.3] an equation for $\mathcal{C}_P$ is

$$(3.2) \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y & x^2 & xy & y^2 \\ 1 & x(P) & y(P) & x^2(P) & xy(P) & y^2(P) \\ D_t^{j_1} 1 & D_t^{j_1} x(P) & D_t^{j_1} y(P) & D_t^{j_1} x^2(P) & D_t^{j_1} xy(P) & D_t^{j_1} y^2(P) \\ D_t^{j_2} 1 & D_t^{j_2} x(P) & D_t^{j_2} y(P) & D_t^{j_2} x^2(P) & D_t^{j_2} xy(P) & D_t^{j_2} y^2(P) \\ D_t^{j_3} 1 & D_t^{j_3} x(P) & D_t^{j_3} y(P) & D_t^{j_3} x^2(P) & D_t^{j_3} xy(P) & D_t^{j_3} y^2(P) \\ D_t^{j_4} 1 & D_t^{j_4} x(P) & D_t^{j_4} y(P) & D_t^{j_4} x^2(P) & D_t^{j_4} xy(P) & D_t^{j_4} y^2(P) \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

with t local parameter at P and $(j_0, j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4) = (j_0(P), j_1(P), j_2(P), j_3(P), j_4(P))$. Then, since $j_4 \leq \max\{4, j(P) + 1\} \leq d + 1 < p^\nu$, from the minimality of the $\mathbf{F}_q$ -Frobenius orders ([13, p. 9]) the rational function

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x^q & y^q & (x^q)^2 & x^q y^q & (y^q)^2 \\ 1 & x & y & x^2 & xy & y^2 \\ D_t^{j_1} 1 & D_t^{j_1} x & D_t^{j_1} y & D_t^{j_1} x^2 & D_t^{j_1} xy & D_t^{j_1} y^2 \\ D_t^{j_2} 1 & D_t^{j_2} x & D_t^{j_2} y & D_t^{j_2} x^2 & D_t^{j_2} xy & D_t^{j_2} y^2 \\ D_t^{j_3} 1 & D_t^{j_3} x & D_t^{j_3} y & D_t^{j_3} x^2 & D_t^{j_3} xy & D_t^{j_3} y^2 \\ D_t^{j_4} 1 & D_t^{j_4} x & D_t^{j_4} y & D_t^{j_4} x^2 & D_t^{j_4} xy & D_t^{j_4} y^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is equal to 0. Therefore (a^q, b^q) satisfies equation (3.2) and the assertion follows. $\square$

The following proposition is the key fact to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 3.3. *If $d < p^\nu - 1$, then $j(P) \in \{2, (p^\nu + 1)/2\}$ for any point $P \in \mathcal{X}$.*

Proof. Let $P = (a, b)$ be a point on $\mathcal{X}$. Introduce a new affine frame (X', Y') such that P is taken to the origin and the tangent line of $\mathcal{X}$ at P to the X' -axis. The corresponding change of coordinate functions from (x, y) to (ξ, η) is given by

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} x &= m_{11}\xi + m_{12}\eta + a, \\ y &= m_{21}\xi + m_{22}\eta + b, \end{aligned}$$

for some $m_{ij} \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$, $i, j = 1, 2$. Equation (3.1) is invariant under this transformation. To see this, put, for $0 \leq i \leq 5$,

$$\begin{aligned} z_i(x, y) &= z_i(m_{11}\xi + m_{12}\eta + a, m_{21}\xi + m_{22}\eta + b) = \bar{z}_i(\xi, \eta), \\ a &= c^{p^\nu}, \quad b = d^{p^\nu}, \quad m_{ij} = n_{ij}^{p^\nu}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \end{aligned}$$

and write $\bar{z}_i = \bar{z}_i(\xi, \eta)$. Then, with

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_0(\xi, \eta) &= \bar{z}_0 + c\bar{z}_1 + d\bar{z}_2 + c^2\bar{z}_3 + cd\bar{z}_4 + d^2\bar{z}_5, \\ \zeta_1(\xi, \eta) &= n_{11}\bar{z}_1 + n_{21}\bar{z}_2 + 2cn_{11}\bar{z}_3 + (cn_{11} + dn_{21})\bar{z}_4 + 2dn_{21}\bar{z}_5, \\ \zeta_2(\xi, \eta) &= n_{12}\bar{z}_1 + n_{22}\bar{z}_2 + 2cn_{12}\bar{z}_3 + (cn_{22} + dn_{12})\bar{z}_4 + 2dn_{22}\bar{z}_5, \\ \zeta_3(\xi, \eta) &= n_{11}^2\bar{z}_3 + n_{11}n_{21}\bar{z}_4 + n_{21}^2\bar{z}_5, \\ \zeta_4(\xi, \eta) &= 2n_{11}n_{12}\bar{z}_3 + (n_{12}n_{21} + n_{11}n_{22})\bar{z}_4 + 2n_{21}n_{22}\bar{z}_5, \\ \zeta_5(\xi, \eta) &= n_{12}^2\bar{z}_3 + n_{12}n_{22}\bar{z}_4 + n_{22}^2\bar{z}_5,\end{aligned}$$

equation (3.1) becomes

$$(3.4) \quad \zeta_0^{p^\nu} + \zeta_1^{p^\nu} \xi + \zeta_2^{p^\nu} \eta + \zeta_3^{p^\nu} \xi^2 + \zeta_4^{p^\nu} \xi \eta + \zeta_5^{p^\nu} \eta^2 = 0.$$

Since the tangent line to $\mathcal{X}$ at $P' = (0, 0)$ has equation $Y' = 0$, we have $v_{P'}(\eta) = j(P)$. Let $v_{P'}(\zeta_i) = k_i$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, 5$. The left-hand side in (3.4) is the sum of six rational functions with valuations at P' :

$$\begin{aligned}v_{P'}(\zeta_0^{p^\nu}) &= k_0 p^\nu, & v_{P'}(\zeta_1^{p^\nu} \xi) &= k_1 p^\nu + 1, \\ v_{P'}(\zeta_2^{p^\nu} \eta) &= k_2 p^\nu + j(P), & v_{P'}(\zeta_3^{p^\nu} \xi^2) &= k_3 p^\nu + 2, \\ v_{P'}(\zeta_4^{p^\nu} \xi \eta) &= k_4 p^\nu + 1 + j(P), & v_{P'}(\zeta_5^{p^\nu} \eta^2) &= k_5 p^\nu + 2j(P).\end{aligned}$$

At least two of these values must be equal, and less than or equal to the remaining four. Hence one of the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned}(k_0 - k_1)p^\nu &= 1, & (k_1 - k_3)p^\nu &= 1, & (k_2 - k_4)p^\nu &= 1, \\ (k_0 - k_3)p^\nu &= 2, & (k_0 - k_2)p^\nu &= j(P), & (k_2 - k_5)p^\nu &= j(P), \\ (k_0 - k_4)p^\nu &= 1 + j(P), & (k_0 - k_5)p^\nu &= 2j(P), & (k_1 - k_2)p^\nu &= j(P) - 1, \\ (k_3 - k_4)p^\nu &= j(P) - 1, & (k_1 - k_4)p^\nu &= j(P), & (k_4 - k_5)p^\nu &= j(P) - 1, \\ (k_1 - k_5)p^\nu &= 2j(P) - 1, & (k_2 - k_3)p^\nu &= 2 - j(P), & (k_3 - k_5)p^\nu &= 2j(P) - 2,\end{aligned}$$

Since $d < p^\nu - 1$ we have $1 + j(P) < p^\nu$. This leaves just two possibilities: $j(P) = 2$, $j(P) = (p^\nu + 1)/2$. $\square$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

To estimate the number of $\mathbf{F}_q$ -rational points of $\mathcal{X}$, we will use a procedure similar to that in [6]. To do this, we go on to study the ramification divisor R and the $\mathbf{F}_q$ -Frobenius divisor S of $\mathcal{X}$.

Lemma 4.1. *If $d < p^\nu - 1$, then for a point $P \in \mathcal{X}$*

$$v_P(R) = j(P) - 2, \quad v_P(S) = \begin{cases} j(P) & \text{if } P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_q), \\ 0 & \text{if } P \notin \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_q) \text{ and } j(P) = 2, \\ j(P) - 1 & \text{if } P \notin \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_q) \text{ and } j(P) > 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. From Proposition 3.3 $j(P) = 2$ or $j(P) = (1/2)(p^\nu + 1)$, hence $v_P(R) = j(P) - 2$ by (c) of Proposition 2.1. Suppose now that $P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_q)$. Since p does not divide $(j(P) - 1)$, from (d) of Proposition 2.1 it follows $v_P(S) = j(P)$. For $P \notin \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_q)$, we distinguish two cases.

If $j(P) = 2$, any degenerate conic meet $\mathcal{X}$ at $P = (a, b)$ with multiplicity at most 4, and therefore the osculating conic $\mathcal{C}_P$ at P is irreducible. Moreover, (a^q, b^q) belongs to $\mathcal{C}_P$ by ii) of Proposition 3.2. Then $v_P(S) = 0$ since otherwise (a^q, b^q) would belong to the tangent line l_P at P , and there would exist too many intersections between l_P and $\mathcal{C}_P$.

Suppose now that $j(P) > 2$. Note that the osculating conic $\mathcal{C}_P$ is the tangent line l_P counted twice. From Proposition 3.2 it follows that $(a^q, b^q) \in \mathcal{C}_P$ and hence $(a^q, b^q) \in l_P$. Now, from equation (2.1), $v_P(S) = v_P((x - x^q)D_t^1 y - (y - y^q)D_t^1 x) = v_P((x - x^q)dy/dt - (y - y^q)dx/dt)$, with a separating variable $t \in \mathbf{F}_q(x, y)$ such that $v_P(dt) = 0$. Since $v_P(S)$ is not invariant under all affine transformations but only for those fixing the plane over $\mathbf{F}_q$, it is necessary to see how $v_P(S)$ changes under an $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$ -linear transformation. With x, y, ξ, η as in (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} (x - x^q)dy - (y - y^q)dx = & [(a - a^q)m_{21} - (b - b^q)m_{11}]d\xi \\ & + [(a - a^q)m_{22} - (b - b^q)m_{12}]d\eta \\ & + (m_{11}m_{22} - m_{12}m_{21})(\xi d\eta - \eta d\xi) \\ & - (m_{11}\xi + m_{12}\eta)^q(m_{21}d\xi + m_{22}d\eta) \\ & + (m_{21}\xi + m_{22}\eta)^q(m_{11}d\xi + m_{12}d\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Now we let $\tau = t(\xi, \eta) \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}_q(\mathcal{X})$. By letting $\xi' = d\xi/d\tau$ and $\eta' = d\eta/d\tau$, the following formula is arrived at:

$$\begin{aligned} v_P(S) = & v_{P'}(\xi') + v_{P'}\{[(a - a^q)m_{21} - (b - b^q)m_{11}] \\ & + [(a - a^q)m_{22} - (b - b^q)m_{12}]\eta'/\xi' \\ & + (m_{11}m_{22} - m_{12}m_{21})(\xi\eta'/\xi' - \eta) \\ & - (m_{11}\xi + m_{12}\eta)^q(m_{21} + m_{22}\eta'/\xi') \\ & + (m_{21}\xi + m_{22}\eta)^q(m_{11} + m_{12}\eta'/\xi')\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $v_{P'}(\xi) = 1$ and $v_{P'}(\eta) = j(P)$ are both prime to p by Proposition 3.3. Hence, $v_{P'}(\xi') = 0$ and $v_{P'}(\eta') = j(P) - 1$. Furthermore, $(a - a^q)m_{21} - (b - b^q)m_{11} = 0$ and $(a - a^q)m_{22} - (b - b^q)m_{12} \neq 0$, as the line joining (a, b) and (a^q, b^q) is the tangent line at P . Hence $v_P(S) = v_{P'}(\eta'/\xi') = j(P) - 1$. $\square$

Now we can prove the main result of the paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. The genus g of $\mathcal{X}$ is equal to $\frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}$, hence $\deg(R) = 3d(d-3) + 3d$ and $\deg(S) = d(d-3) + d(q+2)$. Therefore $d(q+5-2d) = \deg(S) - \deg(R) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{X}} [v_P(S) - v_P(R)]$. Then the assertion follows from Lemma 4.1. $\square$

Remark 4.2. Note that the proofs of Lemma 4.1 and of Theorem 1.1 depend on conditions A) and B), and on the following two facts arising from $d < p^\nu - 1$:

- 1) $\mathbf{Fr}(P) \in \mathcal{C}_P$ for every $P \in \mathcal{X}$ (see Proposition 3.2);
- 2) p does not divide $j(P)(j(P) - 1)$ for every $P \in \mathcal{X}$ (see Proposition 3.3).

Therefore, if $\mathcal{X}$ fulfills the above two conditions together with A) and B) then its number of $\mathbf{F}_q$ -rational points is given by equation (1.1). This happens for instance for the following Fermat curves $\alpha X^d + \beta Y^d = 1$ defined over $\mathbf{F}_q$ (see [4, Thm. 2, Thm. 3]):

- i) $d = (q - 1)/2(p^r - 1)$ with $\alpha^2, \beta^2 \in \mathbf{F}_{p^r} \setminus \{0\}$;
- ii) $d = 2(q - 1)/(p^r - 1)$ with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and α, β non-zero squares in $\mathbf{F}_{p^r}$.

Remark 4.3. An example of plane non-singular algebraic curve which satisfies conditions A) and B) but not equation (1.1) is given by the Fermat curve $\alpha X^d + \beta Y^d = 1$, with $d = 2(q - 1)/(p^r - 1)$ and α, β non-squares in $\mathbf{F}_{p^r}$. The number N of its $\mathbf{F}_q$ -rational points is $\frac{1}{2}d(q - 1 + d - d\psi + 2\psi)$, where $\psi = 0$ for r odd and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $\psi = 1$ otherwise (see [4, Example (viii)]). Equation (1.1) would instead give $N = \frac{1}{2}d(q + 2 - 2d + \psi)$. It is easily seen that such a curve does not satisfy condition 1) in Remark 4.2.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Prof. G. Korchmáros and Prof. F. Torres for their useful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aguglia and G. Korchmáros, On the number of rational points of an algebraic curve over finite fields, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.* **7** (2000), 333–342.
- [2] A. Garcia and P. Viana, Weierstrass points on certain non-classical curves, *Arch. Math.* **46** (1986), 315–322.
- [3] A. Garcia and J.F. Voloch, Wronskians and linear independence in fields of prime characteristic, *Manuscripta Math.* **59** (1987), 457–469.
- [4] A. Garcia and J.F. Voloch, Fermat curves over finite fields, *J. Number Theory* **30** (1988), 345–356.
- [5] G. van der Geer and M. van der Vlugt, Tables of curves with many points, January 2002, <http://www.wins.uva.nl/~geer>.
- [6] A. Hefez and J.F. Voloch, Frobenius non classical curves, *Arch. Math.* **54** (1990), 263–273.
- [7] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, *Projective Geometries Over Finite Fields*, second edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998.
- [8] J.W.P. Hirschfeld and G. Korchmáros, Embedding an arc into a conic in a finite plane, *Finite Fields Appl.* **2** (1996), 274–292.
- [9] J.W.P. Hirschfeld and G. Korchmáros, On the number of rational points on an algebraic curve over a finite field, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.* **5**(2–3) (1998), 313–340.
- [10] J.W.P. Hirschfeld and G. Korchmáros, Arcs and curves over a finite field, *Finite Fields Appl.* **5** (1999), 393–408.
- [11] G. Korchmáros and T. Szőnyi, Fermat Curves over Finite Fields and Cyclic Subsets in High-Dimensional Projective Spaces, *Finite Fields Appl.* **5** (1999), 206–217.

- [12] K. Lauter, Geometric methods for improving the upper bounds on the number of rational points on algebraic curves over finite fields with an appendix by Jean-Pierre Serre, *J. Alg. Geometry* **10** (2001), 19–36.
- [13] K.O. Stöhr and J.F. Voloch, Weierstrass points and curves over finite fields, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **52** (1986), 1–19.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PERUGIA, VIA VAN-VITELLI, 1, 06123 PERUGIA, ITALY